

# Facility and Natural Resource Recreation Area Needs: Facility Manager Responses 2012



Elizabeth Covelli Metcalf, Ph.D.

Norma Polovitz Nickerson, Ph.D.



College of Forestry  
and Conservation  
32 Campus Dr. #1234  
The University of Montana  
Missoula, MT 59812

Phone (406) 243-5686  
Fax (406) 243-4845  
[www.itrr.umt.edu](http://www.itrr.umt.edu)

---

# Facility and Natural Resource Recreation Area Needs: Facility Manager Responses

Prepared by

Elizabeth Covelli Metcalf, Ph.D.  
Norma Polovitz Nickerson, Ph.D.

Institute for Tourism & Recreation Research  
College of Forestry and Conservation  
The University of Montana  
Missoula, MT 59812  
[www.itrr.umt.edu](http://www.itrr.umt.edu)

Research Report 2013-3

February 2013

This report was funded by Montana State Parks, a Division of Fish, Wildlife and Parks

Copyright© 2013 Institute for Tourism and Recreation Research. All rights reserved.

# Executive Summary

The purpose of this study was to understand the quantity and quality of outdoor recreation facilities and areas and the future recreation needs from the perspective of managers. To assess recreation needs, a survey of local, state, federal, school, and tribal managers was conducted in the fall of 2012. A total of 396 managers were contacted via email and asked to participate in an online survey. A total of 109 managers responded resulting in a response rate of 27%.

## Quantity and quality of recreation facilities and areas

- 51% of respondents indicated walking/jogging/biking paths should be increased.
- 33% of respondents indicated bike lanes on roads should be increased.
- Nearly 50% of respondents indicated there was an adequate number of fishing areas and motorized boating areas.
- Over 50% reported there were an adequate number of big and small game hunting areas.
- Close to 60% of respondents reported there were an adequate number of picnic areas.
- Around 50% of respondents reported there was an adequate number of horseshoe pits, baseball fields, softball fields and football fields.
- Around 50% of respondents did not have and did not want downhill ski areas or snowmobile trails.

## Inventory of outdoor recreation facilities

- Respondents reported the amount of each facility they had in their jurisdiction.
- The facilities listed as having the most were picnic areas (341) and playgrounds (377).
- There were a total of 5,423 miles of hiking trails reported by 30 different agencies.
- There were 145 miles of bike lanes reported by 15 different agencies.

## Facility needs

- The top need by nine managers was an aquatics facility.
- Other top facility needs included playgrounds, expanding facilities, deferred maintenance, hiking and biking trails, and water access.
- Youth: The top facility need for youth was playgrounds followed by hiking and biking trails.
- Adults: Future facility needs for adults include hiking and biking trails.
- Senior and ADA accessibility: Facility needs include hiking and biking trails and campgrounds.

## Outdoor recreation trends and issues

- Youth and future generations, children and nature, and health benefits of recreation were rated high as issues influencing decisions about facilities.
- Over 30% of respondents indicated they sometimes or often rely on friends groups and service organizations for agency funding.
- 37% of managers indicated their funding from tax allocations decreased in the past five years.
- Over 75% of respondents reported they were likely to collaborate with service organizations, county agencies, municipal agencies, and nonprofit organizations over the next five years.

Results from this study suggest Montana outdoor recreation managers should focus on developing and creating more hiking/walking and biking paths and trails. Agencies should continue to develop relationships with service-based organizations to help fund recreation efforts. Managers should continue to keep youth and connection with nature on the forefront when making decisions about facilities.

# Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	3
Tables and Figures .....	5
Introduction .....	6
Methods.....	6
Results.....	7
Assessment of facility quantity and quality .....	9
Inventory of Outdoor Recreation Facilities.....	19
Facility needs.....	20
Outdoor recreation trends and issues .....	24
Summary and Discussion .....	28
Appendix A: Open Ended Comments.....	30
Appendix B: Survey Instrument .....	46

## Tables and Figures

Table 1: Tourism regions and frequency of respondents .....	8
Table 2: Montana counties and frequency of respondents .....	8
Table 3: Frequency of agency type .....	9
Table 4: Quantity and quality of trails/street/road facilities .....	9
Table 5: Quantity and quality of water-based areas/facilities.....	11
Table 6: Quantity and quality of land-based areas/facilities .....	12
Table 7: Quantity and quality of sports facilities .....	14
Table 8: Quantity and quality of winter sports areas/facilities .....	16
Table 9: Quantity and quality of viewing/learning areas/facilities.....	17
Table 10: Inventory of City, County and Tribal Outdoor Facilities.....	19
Table 11: Inventory of total miles of trails.....	20
Table 12: Top 5 facility needs .....	20
Table 13: Top 5 facility needs for city, school, county and tribal agencies .....	21
Table 14: Top 5 facility needs for state and federal agencies.....	21
Table 15: Top 3 facility needs for demographic groups.....	22
Table 16: Top 3 facility needs for city, school, county and tribal agencies by demographic groups .....	23
Table 17: Top 3 facility needs for state and federal agencies by demographic groups .....	24
Table 18: Issues influencing future decisions about facilities.....	25
Table 19: Funding sources for outdoor recreation agencies .....	25
Table 20: Visitor characteristic trends at outdoor recreation facilities .....	26
Table 21: Likely collaborators over the next 5 years .....	27
Figure 1: Map of Tourism Regions in Montana and Sample Size per Region .....	7
Figure 2: Comparison of funding from public tax allocations.....	26

## **Introduction**

A goal of the State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP) is to identify outdoor recreation trends, needs, and issues that will help direct Montana's use of the Land Water Conservation Fund dollars that are allocated to the state. Most SCORPs address the demand for and supply of recreation resources (local, state and federal) within a state, identify needs and new opportunities for recreation improvements and set forth an implementation program to meet the goals identified by its citizens and elected leaders. The current Montana SCORP extends through 2012 and therefore an update is needed.

There are many organizations in the state of Montana that offer recreation facilities and natural resource recreation areas including school districts, federal agencies, municipalities, counties, tribes and state agencies. To understand these facilities, an inventory of public recreation entities was conducted. The intent of this survey was to inventory public recreation facilities, including built and natural resource recreation areas (trails, fishing access, etc.), identify the condition of these facilities/areas and identify the future facility/area needs to meet the recreation demand in Montana.

## **Methods**

The Statewide Facility and Natural Resource Recreation Area Needs Survey was conducted in the fall of 2012 using an online/web-based format. A comprehensive list of facility managers (local, state and federal) was obtained by Montana State Parks and the SCORP Advisory committee. This list included a total of 396 email addresses.


In early September, an initial letter was sent via email by Montana State Parks to each of the recreation managers informing them about the survey and asking them for their participation. A second email was sent seven days later asking for the recreation manager to follow a link to the online survey where they could complete the facility needs assessment. Three reminder emails were sent in seven day intervals, all which contained a link to the online survey. In addition to reminder emails, the SCORP advisory committee and FWP were charged with generating support for the survey at the 2012 Montana Parks and Recreation Association annual meeting in Missoula, MT. This method resulted in a total of 109 completed surveys and a 27% response rate.

The survey was created using SANP survey software. SANP allows for offline creation of a survey with the option to have an online version. Previous MT SCORP's were used to create survey questions along with SCORP reports from other states including Pennsylvania, Oregon, Arizona, and South Dakota. Questions addressed quality and quantity of outdoor recreation facilities and natural areas. Other questions addressed general trends in outdoor recreation, recreation and facility needs and agency funding.

## Results

Respondents were asked which tourism region and county a majority of their facilities were located. A majority of respondents were from Glacier Country (24%) and Gold West Country (21%). The region with the least number of respondents was Missouri River Country (Table 1). Forty-eight of the 56 counties in Montana were represented. With the most number of respondents coming from Flathead County (n=12) and Lewis and Clark County (n=9). There were no respondents from Big Horn, Daniels, Dawson, Glacier, Garfield, Hill, Judith Basin or Wibaux Counties (Table 2). Of the managers sampled, 40 percent were affiliated with a school, 21 percent with a state agency and 11 percent with a city (Table 3). The agencies with the lowest frequency were tribal, Bureau of Reclamation, National Parks and the Army Corps of Engineers.

**Figure 1: Map of Tourism Regions in Montana and Sample Size per Region**



**Table 1: Tourism regions and frequency of respondents**

Region	Frequency	Percentage
Glacier Region	26	24%
Central Region	21	19%
Missouri River Region	11	10%
Southwest Region	23	21%
Yellowstone Region	13	12%
Southeast Region	15	14%

**Table 2: Montana counties and frequency of respondents**

County name	Frequency	County name	Frequency
Beaverhead	5	Madison	2
Big Horn	0	Meagher	2
Blaine	2	Mineral	3
Broadwater	2	Missoula	8
Carbon	2	Musselshell	1
Carter	1	Park	2
Cascade	6	Petroleum	1
Chouteau	6	Phillips	2
Custer	4	Pondera	1
Daniels	0	Powder River	1
Dawson	0	Powell	3
Deer Lodge	2	Prairie	1
Fallon	0	Ravalli	2
Fergus	6	Richland	1
Flathead	12	Roosevelt	2
Gallatin	7	Rosebud	3
Garfield	0	Sanders	1
Glacier	4	Sheridan	3
Golden Valley	0	Silver Bow	2
Granite	1	Stillwater	3
Hill	0	Sweet Grass	2
Judith Basin	0	Teton	2
Lake	3	Toole	1
Lewis and Clark	9	Treasure	1
Liberty	2	Valley	4
Lincoln	3	Wheatland	2
McCone	1	Wibaux	0
		Yellowstone	7

**Table 3: Frequency of agency type**

Agency type	Frequency	Percentage
School	35	40%
City	10	11%
County	9	10%
State agency	18	21%
Tribal	1	1%
Federal BLM	5	6%
Federal Forest Service	5	6%
Federal BOR	1	1%
Federal Wildlife Refuge	2	2%
Federal National Parks	1	1%
Federal Army Corps of Engineers	1	1%

### Assessment of facility quantity and quality

Respondents were asked about the quantity and quality of various outdoor recreation facilities and natural areas. Quantity was measured by asking respondents if there was an adequate number of facilities or if facilities should be increased in their jurisdiction. Respondents were also given the option to indicate if they don't have the facility but would like it or don't have the facility and don't need the facility. Quality was measured by asking the respondent to report if the facility was in poor, fair, good or very good condition. It is important to note that respondents had the option to check not available (NA) when rating the quality of the facility. Please interpret these results with caution.

In the category of trails/street/road facilities, 51 percent of the respondents reported that walking/jogging/biking paths should be increased (Table 4). Hiking trails (37%), bike lanes (33%) and mountain bike trails (31%) were also areas that respondents indicated should be increased. Thirty-two percent of respondents indicated that there were an adequate number of motorized off-road trails and 42 percent indicated they did not have and did not need these types of trails. Of the managers who responded to the quality questions, over 80 percent indicated walking/jogging/biking paths and hiking trails was fair to very good. Twenty-nine percent of respondents reported bike lanes on roads as being poor or fair.

**Table 4: Quantity and quality of trails/street/road facilities**

Trails/street/road facilities			
Walking/jogging/biking paths	Quantity	Quality	
	(n=109)		(n=80)
Adequate number	19%	Poor	13%
Should be increased	51%	Fair	35%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	35%
Don't have don't need this	11%	Very Good	18%
Hiking trails			
	(n=106)		(n=73)
Adequate number	34%	Poor	4%

Should be increased	37%	Fair	33%
Don't have but would like	17%	Good	38%
Don't have don't need this	12%	Very Good	26%
<b>Equestrian trails</b>			
	(n=106)		(n=40)
Adequate number	26%	Poor	10%
Should be increased	21%	Fair	20%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	35%	Very Good	28%
<b>Bike lanes on roads</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=45)
Adequate number	6%	Poor	33%
Should be increased	33%	Fair	31%
Don't have but would like	37%	Good	31%
Don't have don't need this	24%	Very Good	4%
<b>Mountain bike trails</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=47)
Adequate number	17%	Poor	17%
Should be increased	31%	Fair	26%
Don't have but would like	22%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	31%	Very Good	15%
<b>Motorized off-road trails</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=41)
Adequate number	32%	Poor	10%
Should be increased	14%	Fair	42%
Don't have but would like	11%	Good	37%
Don't have don't need this	42%	Very Good	12%
<b>Scenic byways</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=45)
Adequate number	33%	Poor	9%
Should be increased	22%	Fair	13%
Don't have but would like	12%	Good	60%
Don't have don't need this	32%	Very Good	18%

In the category of water-based areas/facilities, about 50 percent of respondents reported there was an adequate number of fishing areas and motorized boating areas (Table 5). Forty-five percent indicated lake and river access should be increased and 38 percent reported lake and river boat launches should be increased. Twenty-six percent indicated that they don't have but would like waterparks and splash/spray decks. Of the managers who responded to the quality questions, most rated water-based areas and facilities as good or very good.

**Table 5: Quantity and quality of water-based areas/facilities**

<b>Water-based areas/facilities</b>			
<b>Outdoor pools</b>	<b>Quantity</b>		<b>Quality</b>
	(n=103)		(n=42)
Adequate number	37%	Poor	10%
Should be increased	13%	Fair	29%
Don't have but would like	16%	Good	29%
Don't have don't need this	35%	Very Good	33%
<b>Splash/spray decks</b>			
	(n=99)		(n=18)
Adequate number	17%	Poor	11%
Should be increased	11%	Fair	28%
Don't have but would like	26%	Good	33%
Don't have don't need this	46%	Very Good	28%
<b>Waterparks</b>			
	(n=100)		(n=18)
Adequate number	17%	Poor	11%
Should be increased	8%	Fair	39%
Don't have but would like	26%	Good	28%
Don't have don't need this	49%	Very Good	22%
<b>Lake and river boat launches</b>			
	(n=104)		(n=69)
Adequate number	37%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	38%	Fair	32%
Don't have but would like	4%	Good	42%
Don't have don't need this	22%	Very Good	23%
<b>Lake and river access</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=72)
Adequate number	37%	Poor	7%
Should be increased	45%	Fair	25%
Don't have but would like	4%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	14%	Very Good	25%
<b>Fishing areas</b>			
	(n=104)		(n=33)
Adequate number	49%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	35%	Fair	20%
Don't have but would like	1%	Good	49%
Don't have don't need this	15%	Very Good	28%
<b>Non-motorized boating areas</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=63)
Adequate number	43%	Poor	0%
Should be increased	26%	Fair	25%
Don't have but would like	8%	Good	46%
Don't have don't need this	23%	Very Good	27%

<b>Motorized boating areas</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=56)
Adequate number	50%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	17%	Fair	16%
Don't have but would like	6%	Good	48%
Don't have don't need this	28%	Very Good	34%

For the land-based area/facilities, close to 50 percent or more of the respondents indicated there were an adequate number of big game, small game and waterfowl hunting areas (Table 6). Respondents indicated there were adequate numbers of camping areas with hook-ups (32%), without hook-ups (47%) and backcountry (43%). Picnic areas (59%) and rodeo grounds (44%) were also reported as adequate. Twenty-four percent of respondents indicated they don't have outdoor climbing areas but would like them. Nearly 50 percent of respondents indicated they did not have and did not need dog parks. Thirty-seven percent of respondents indicated that the quality of big game hunting areas were fair. Of the managers who responded to the quality questions, a majority of respondents reported all of the other land-based areas/facilities as being good or very good.

**Table 6: Quantity and quality of land-based areas/facilities**

<b>Land-based areas/facilities</b>			
<b>Big game hunting areas</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=61)
Adequate number	53%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	26%	Fair	12%
Don't have but would like	0%	Good	41%
Don't have don't need this	22%	Very Good	46%
<b>Small game hunting areas</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=60)
Adequate number	56%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	21%	Fair	7%
Don't have but would like	1%	Good	47%
Don't have don't need this	22%	Very Good	45%
<b>Waterfowl hunting areas</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=60)
Adequate number	49%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	25%	Fair	15%
Don't have but would like	3%	Good	47%
Don't have don't need this	24%	Very Good	37%
<b>Campgrounds with hook-ups</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=43)
Adequate number	32%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	29%	Fair	17%
Don't have but would like	7%	Good	48%
Don't have don't need this	32%	Very Good	33%
<b>Campgrounds without hook-ups</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=60)

Adequate number	47%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	27%	Fair	17%
Don't have but would like	3%	Good	48%
Don't have don't need this	23%	Very Good	33%
<b>Backcountry camping</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=46)
Adequate number	43%	Poor	0%
Should be increased	22%	Fair	20%
Don't have but would like	7%	Good	46%
Don't have don't need this	29%	Very Good	35%
<b>Publicly owned rental cabins/yurts/lookouts</b>			
	(n=98)		(n=37)
Adequate number	28%	Poor	5%
Should be increased	28%	Fair	22%
Don't have but would like	12%	Good	49%
Don't have don't need this	33%	Very Good	24%
<b>Picnic areas</b>			
	(n=106)		(n=87)
Adequate number	59%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	31%	Fair	23%
Don't have but would like	3%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	7%	Very Good	32%
<b>Playgrounds</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=69)
Adequate number	43%	Poor	6%
Should be increased	35%	Fair	26%
Don't have but would like	6%	Good	38%
Don't have don't need this	17%	Very Good	30%
<b>Dog parks</b>			
	(n=100)		(n=23)
Adequate number	19%	Poor	17%
Should be increased	17%	Fair	30%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	30%
Don't have don't need this	46%	Very Good	22%
<b>Outdoor rock climbing areas</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=29)
Adequate number	23%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	16%	Fair	21%
Don't have but would like	24%	Good	48%
Don't have don't need this	37%	Very Good	28%
<b>Pow wow/dance arbors</b>			
	(n=98)		(n=19)
Adequate number	24%	Poor	11%
Should be increased	8%	Fair	37%

Don't have but would like	14%	Good	37%
Don't have don't need this	54%	Very Good	16%
<b>Rodeo grounds</b>			
	(n=99)		(n=34)
Adequate number	45%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	8%	Fair	27%
Don't have but would like	5%	Good	53%
Don't have don't need this	42%	Very Good	18%

In the sports facilities category, close to 50 percent of respondents indicated there was an adequate number of horseshoe pits, baseball fields, softball fields and football fields (Table 7). Other facilities with a large percent of respondents indicating they were adequate were golf courses (45%) and outdoor basketball courts (42%). Over 20 percent of respondents indicated that disc golf courses and tennis courts should be increased. Twenty-seven percent of respondents indicated they don't have but would like outdoor volleyball courts and BMX/MT bike skills parks. The quality of outdoor basketball courts was low with over 30 percent reporting they were poor or fair. The quality of the other sports facilities ranged between fair and very good. Overall sample size should be considered when interpreting the results for the quality questions in this section.

**Table 7: Quantity and quality of sports facilities**

<b>Sports facilities</b>			
<b>Tennis courts</b>	<b>Quantity</b>		<b>Quality</b>
	(n=103)		(n=50)
Adequate number	36%	Poor	16%
Should be increased	22%	Fair	28%
Don't have but would like	11%	Good	38%
Don't have don't need this	31%	Very Good	18%
<b>Skateboard parks</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=29)
Adequate number	24%	Poor	10%
Should be increased	13%	Fair	28%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	35%
Don't have don't need this	45%	Very Good	28%
<b>BMX/MT bike skills parks</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=18)
Adequate number	15%	Poor	6%
Should be increased	15%	Fair	22%
Don't have but would like	27%	Good	50%
Don't have don't need this	44%	Very Good	22%
<b>Archery ranges</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=33)
Adequate number	35%	Poor	6%
Should be increased	16%	Fair	33%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	33%
Don't have don't need this	31%	Very Good	27%

<b>Rifle/handgun ranges</b>			
	(n=106)		(n=38)
Adequate number	35%	Poor	5%
Should be increased	18%	Fair	37%
Don't have but would like	12%	Good	26%
Don't have don't need this	35%	Very Good	32%
<b>Horseshoe pits</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=59)
Adequate number	51%	Poor	14%
Should be increased	12%	Fair	39%
Don't have but would like	12%	Good	31%
Don't have don't need this	25%	Very Good	16%
<b>Golf courses</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=39)
Adequate number	45%	Poor	0%
Should be increased	10%	Fair	10%
Don't have but would like	7%	Good	41%
Don't have don't need this	38%	Very Good	49%
<b>Disc golf/folf courses</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=29)
Adequate number	19%	Poor	17%
Should be increased	22%	Fair	21%
Don't have but would like	26%	Good	31%
Don't have don't need this	34%	Very Good	31%
<b>Baseball fields</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=57)
Adequate number	50%	Poor	9%
Should be increased	19%	Fair	21%
Don't have but would like	6%	Good	44%
Don't have don't need this	26%	Very Good	26%
<b>Softball fields</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=58)
Adequate number	50%	Poor	7%
Should be increased	19%	Fair	24%
Don't have but would like	7%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	24%	Very Good	26%
<b>Lacrosse fields</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=7)
Adequate number	7%	Poor	43%
Should be increased	11%	Fair	29%
Don't have but would like	13%	Good	14%
Don't have don't need this	70%	Very Good	14%
<b>Outdoor basketball courts</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=64)

Adequate number	42%	Poor	16%
Should be increased	25%	Fair	33%
Don't have but would like	8%	Good	41%
Don't have don't need this	26%	Very Good	11%
<b>Soccer fields</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=58)
Adequate number	36%	Poor	8%
Should be increased	20%	Fair	33%
Don't have but would like	14%	Good	33%
Don't have don't need this	30%	Very Good	27%
<b>Football fields</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=58)
Adequate number	51%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	15%	Fair	21%
Don't have but would like	5%	Good	43%
Don't have don't need this	30%	Very Good	33%
<b>Outdoor volleyball courts</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=30)
Adequate number	27%	Poor	27%
Should be increased	18%	Fair	37%
Don't have but would like	27%	Good	30%
Don't have don't need this	29%	Very Good	7%

In the winter sports areas/facilities category, around 30 percent or more of respondents reported there was an adequate number of snowmobile trails, XC ski and snowshoe trails and downhill ski areas (Table 8). Twenty-seven percent of respondents indicated sledding and tubing areas should be increased. Over 20 percent of respondents don't have but would like outdoor ice rinks. Around half of the respondents reported not having and not needing downhill ski areas (56%) and snowmobile trails (47%). The quality of winter areas/facilities is difficult to determine given that over 50 percent of the sample reported these facilities as not available.

**Table 8: Quantity and quality of winter sports areas/facilities**

<b>Winter sports areas/facilities</b>			
<b>Sledding/tubing areas</b>	<b>Quantity</b>		<b>Quality</b>
	(n=105)		(n=41)
Adequate number	23%	Poor	7%
Should be increased	27%	Fair	44%
Don't have but would like	14%	Good	39%
Don't have don't need this	36%	Very Good	10%
<b>XC ski/snowshoe trails</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=42)
Adequate number	30%	Poor	2%
Should be increased	27%	Fair	29%
Don't have but would like	13%	Good	45%
Don't have don't need this	31%	Very Good	24%

<b>Outdoor ice rinks</b>			
	(n=102)		(n=31)
Adequate number	24%	Poor	10%
Should be increased	17%	Fair	36%
Don't have but would like	21%	Good	39%
Don't have don't need this	39%	Very Good	16%
<b>Snowmobile trails</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=32)
Adequate number	35%	Poor	0%
Should be increased	12%	Fair	16%
Don't have but would like	7%	Good	47%
Don't have don't need this	47%	Very Good	37%
<b>Downhill ski areas</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=23)
Adequate number	31%	Poor	0%
Should be increased	7%	Fair	13%
Don't have but would like	6%	Good	30%
Don't have don't need this	56%	Very Good	57%

In the category of viewing/learning areas/facilities, over 50 percent of the sample indicated there was an adequate number of heritage/historic areas and sites (Table 9). Over 40 percent reported interpretive trails, open space, wildlife viewing areas, and natural or wild areas should be increased. Overall, the quality of these areas was reported as fair to very good by the managers who responded to this set of questions.

**Table 9: Quantity and quality of viewing/learning areas/facilities**

<b>Viewing/learning areas/facilities</b>			
<b>Heritage/historic areas &amp; sites</b>	<b>Quantity</b>		<b>Quality</b>
	(n=103)		(n=67)
Adequate number	52%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	32%	Fair	31%
Don't have but would like	4%	Good	39%
Don't have don't need this	13%	Very Good	27%
<b>Environmental education centers</b>			
	(n=104)		(n=52)
Adequate number	34%	Poor	4%
Should be increased	33%	Fair	29%
Don't have but would like	18%	Good	35%
Don't have don't need this	15%	Very Good	33%
<b>Interpretive trails</b>			
	(n=105)		(n=58)
Adequate number	27%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	43%	Fair	29%
Don't have but would like	15%	Good	35%
Don't have don't need this	15%	Very Good	33%

<b>Open space areas</b>			
	(n=101)		(n=69)
Adequate number	42%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	40%	Fair	22%
Don't have but would like	5%	Good	44%
Don't have don't need this	14%	Very Good	32%
<b>Wildlife viewing areas</b>			
	(n=103)		(n=68)
Adequate number	42%	Poor	3%
Should be increased	41%	Fair	27%
Don't have but would like	3%	Good	40%
Don't have don't need this	15%	Very Good	31%
<b>Natural or wild areas</b>			
	(n=104)		(n=71)
Adequate number	42%	Poor	4%
Should be increased	39%	Fair	23%
Don't have but would like	4%	Good	34%
Don't have don't need this	15%	Very Good	39%

## Inventory of Outdoor Recreation Facilities

Recreation providers from city, county, tribal or schools were asked to indicate the number of facilities they have for each category (Table10). Respondents reported playgrounds (n=377) and picnic areas (n=341) the most. With 54 and 44 agencies, respectively, reporting they have those facilities. Only one agency reported having a lacrosse field or a waterpark in their jurisdiction.

**Table 10: Inventory of City, County and Tribal Outdoor Facilities**

Facility	Total number of facilities	Total number of agencies indicating 'yes' to facility	Total number of agencies indicating '0' facilities
Playgrounds	377	54	11
Picnic areas	341	44	23
Baseball fields	213	39	26
Horseshoe pits	188	23	42
Tennis courts	171	29	36
Outdoor basketball courts	167	47	18
Softball fields	153	44	21
Soccer fields	97	33	32
Football fields	84	41	22
Outdoor volleyball courts	41	16	49
Golf courses	27	17	49
Outdoor ice rinks	26	15	50
Outdoor pools	22	17	48
Rodeo grounds	15	15	50
Splash/spray decks	14	6	59
Disc golf courses	14	14	51
Pow wow/dance arbor areas	12	4	61
BMX/mountain bike skills parks	11	8	54
Archery ranges	11	11	54
Rifle/handgun ranges	10	10	55
Skateboard parks	9	13	57
Waterparks	6	1	59
Lacrosse field	1	1	61

Recreation providers were also asked about how many miles of trails they had in their jurisdiction. Table 11 presents the reported mileage for each of the trail categories. Thirty agencies reported having close to 5500 miles of hiking/backpacking trails, sixteen agencies reported having over 4,000 miles of equestrian trails, and thirteen agencies reported having close to 3,000 miles of snowmobile trails.

**Table 11: Inventory of total miles of trails**

Trail type	Total miles of trails	Total number of agencies indicating 'yes' to trails	Total number of agencies indicating '0' trails
Hiking/backpacking trails	5423	30	57
Equestrian trails	4387	16	68
Snowmobile trails	2933	13	71
Off-road/ATV trails	2649	16	69
Walking/fitness/bike trails	1825	42	42
XC ski/snowshoe trails	1064	19	67
Designated mt. bike trails	325	15	69
Bike lanes	145	15	66

## Facility needs

Outdoor recreation managers were asked about their top facility needs for the next five years. There were a variety of needs listed and they were categorized for ease of comprehension (Table 12). A full list of needs can be found in appendix A. Nine respondents indicated an aquatics facility was their number one need. The number 2 need listed by eight respondents was a playground. Ten respondents indicated their number three facility need was expanding current facilities. The number four need was a tie between deferred maintenance and hiking/biking trails. Seven respondents reported water access as their number five need.

**Table 12: Top 5 facility needs**

	Top Facility Needs	Frequency
<b>Need #1</b>	Aquatics facility	9
	Campground	8
	Water access	7
<b>Need #2</b>	Playground	8
	Deferred maintenance	7
	Hiking/biking trails	7
<b>Need #3</b>	Expand facilities	10
	Land access	6
	Deferred maintenance	6
<b>Need #4</b>	Deferred maintenance	5
	Hiking/biking trails	5
	Interpretive visitor center	4
<b>Need #5</b>	Water access	7
	Hiking/biking trails	6
	Deferred maintenance	5

The data were categorized by agency type and divided into two groups for comparison. Group one is any agency that identified themselves as city, school, county and tribal (n=56), group two was any agency that identified themselves as state or federal (n=33). Please note that only frequencies of three or more are reported below. For a full listing of the open-ended responses please see appendix B. Those who identified themselves as a 'local' agency indicated aquatics facilities, playgrounds and hiking and biking trails as a number one need (Table 13). While the state and federal agencies identified campgrounds, water access and deferred maintenance as a primary need (Table 14).

**Table 13: Top 5 facility needs for city, school, county and tribal agencies**

	<b>City, School, County and Tribe Agency Top Facility Needs</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Need #1</b>	Aquatics facility	6
	Playground	5
	Hiking/biking trails	5
<b>Need #2</b>	Biking/walking paths	6
	Playground	5
	Aquatics facilities	4
<b>Need #3</b>	Playground	5
<b>Need #4</b>	Aquatics facility	3

**Table 14: Top 5 facility needs for state and federal agencies**

	<b>State and Federal Agency Top Facility Needs</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Need #1</b>	Campground	6
	Water access	5
	Deferred maintenance	3
<b>Need #2</b>	Water access	4
	Campground	4
<b>Need #3</b>	Expand facilities	7
	Land access	6
<b>Need #4</b>	Hiking/biking trails	4
<b>Need #5</b>	Water access	4
	Deferred maintenance	4

Respondents were also asked about specific facility needs for demographic groups including youth (under age 18), adults (ages 18-64), seniors (age 65 and up), and needs to help meet requirements for ADA/accessibility standards (Table 15). The number one need identified for youth was playgrounds and the number two and three needs were hiking/biking trails and aquatics facilities. For adults the top listed needs were hiking/biking trails. Both seniors and ADA facility needs had the same break down of needs with the number one and two needs listed as hiking/biking trails and the number three need reported as campgrounds.

**Table 15: Top 3 facility needs for demographic groups**

	<b>Facility Needs</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Youth facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Playground	13
	Hiking/biking trails	7
	Aquatics facility and Water access	6
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	11
	Aquatics facility	5
	Biking/walking paths	5
<b>Need #3</b>	Aquatics facilities	8
	Hiking/biking trails	5
<b>Adult facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	13
	Water access	5
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	13
	Campground	7
<b>Need #3</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	6
<b>Senior Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	16
	Biking/walking paths	8
	Campground	7
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	9
	Picnic areas	5
<b>Need #3</b>	Campgrounds	5
<b>ADA Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	9
	Water access	7
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	9
<b>Need #3</b>	Campgrounds	5

As in Table 13 and 14, the sample was categorized by type of agency. Results indicate that 'local' agencies see playgrounds and hiking/biking trails as a top need for youth (Table 16). While state and federal agencies indicate campgrounds and water access as a top need (Table 17). Please note that only frequencies of three or more are reported below. A common theme between both groups is the need for hiking and biking trails for all. For a full listing of the open-ended responses please see appendix A.

**Table 16: Top 3 facility needs for city, school, county and tribal agencies by demographic groups**

	<b>City, School, County and Tribe Agency Facility Needs</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Youth facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Playground	7
	Hiking/biking trails	4
<b>Need #2</b>	Playground	5
<b>Need #3</b>	Aquatics facilities	8
<b>Adult facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	10
	Biking/walking paths	5
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	7
<b>Need #3</b>	Aquatics facility	3
<b>Senior Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	16
	Biking/walking paths	8
	Campground	7
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	4
	Picnic areas	4
<b>Need #3</b>	Biking/walking paths	3
<b>ADA Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Picnic areas	6
	Hiking/biking trails	5
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	4
<b>Need #3</b>	Aquatics facilities	3

**Table 17: Top 3 facility needs for state and federal agencies by demographic groups**

	<b>State and Federal Agency Facility Needs</b>	<b>Frequency</b>
<b>Youth facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Water access	5
	Campground	3
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/biking trails	7
<b>Need #3</b>	Hiking/biking trails	3
<b>Adult facility needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Campgrounds	6
	Water access	4
<b>Need #2</b>	Campgrounds	6
<b>Need #3</b>	Campgrounds	4
<b>Senior Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Campgrounds	6
	Hiking/biking trails	4
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/biking trails	4
<b>Need #3</b>	Campgrounds	5
<b>ADA Facility Needs</b>		
<b>Need #1</b>	Water access	7
	Hiking/biking trails	3
<b>Need #2</b>	Hiking/Biking trails	3
<b>Need #3</b>	Campgrounds	5

## **Outdoor recreation trends and issues**

Respondents were asked how influential certain issues were when making decisions regarding facility improvements. The list of issues was created using major themes in outdoor recreation and was reviewed by several recreation professionals (Table 18). Respondents were asked to rate the issues on a scale of 1-4 where 1 equal not influential and 4 equals very influential. The issue with the highest mean score was youth and future generations ( $m=3.38$ ). This was followed by health benefits of recreation ( $m=3.00$ ) and children and nature ( $m=3.00$ ). Issues with the lowest mean scores were nonresident users ( $m=2.15$ ), multi-use regional parks ( $m=2.27$ ) and providing opportunities for military veterans ( $m=2.30$ ).

**Table 18: Issues influencing future decisions about facilities**


Scale from 1-4	Not influential	Somewhat influential	Influential	Very influential	Mean
<b>Youth &amp; the future generations</b>	2%	9%	38%	51%	3.38
<b>Children and nature</b>	8%	18%	40%	34%	3.00
<b>Health benefits of recreation</b>	8%	18%	39%	35%	3.00
<b>Connecting people with nature</b>	8%	21%	39%	33%	2.95
<b>Aging population</b>	10%	25%	38%	27%	2.81
<b>Increased connectivity between trails</b>	28%	20%	23%	30%	2.55
<b>Recreation data for justification needs</b>	23%	29%	30%	18%	2.44
<b>Training future recreation workforce</b>	23%	36%	28%	13%	2.31
<b>Providing opportunities for military veterans</b>	21%	38%	32%	9%	2.30
<b>Multi-use regional parks</b>	38%	17%	25%	20%	2.27
<b>Nonresident users</b>	29%	36%	27%	8%	2.15

Respondents were asked to indicate their agencies sources of funding in the past five years (Table 19). Below is the breakdown for each of the potential funding sources. Some interesting results exist in the donations category. Around 40 percent of respondents indicated they used friends groups or service groups for funding sometimes or often.

**Table 19: Funding sources for outdoor recreation agencies**

	N	Not at all	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	All the time
<b>Fund allocations</b>						
<b>Federal</b>	93	20%	16%	15%	22%	26%
<b>State</b>	91	28%	19%	23%	15%	15%
<b>Tribal</b>	88	87%	7%	3%	2%	0%
<b>County</b>	89	57%	19%	15%	6%	3%
<b>City/town</b>	89	61%	14%	9%	6%	10%
<b>Other</b>						
<b>Park/user fees</b>	92	48%	8%	13%	15%	16%
<b>Designated taxes</b>	88	57%	8%	11%	10%	14%
<b>Bonds</b>	89	76%	9%	7%	6%	2%
<b>Public grants</b>	90	39%	13%	27%	20%	1%
<b>Private grants</b>	92	42%	21%	26%	10%	1%
<b>Donations</b>						
<b>Friends groups</b>	93	37%	20%	25%	14%	4%
<b>Individuals</b>	93	34%	27%	24%	11%	4%
<b>Businesses or development agencies</b>	92	37%	27%	20%	12%	4%
<b>Service groups</b>	92	32%	23%	29%	12%	4%

Respondents were asked to compare their current funding from public tax allocations to five years ago (Figure 2). Fifty-three percent indicated their public tax funding stayed the same, 37 percent reported it had decreased and 10 percent indicated it had increased.



**Figure 2: Comparison of funding from public tax allocations**

Respondents were asked to the best of their ability to describe the visitor characteristic trends they are seeing at their outdoor recreation facilities (Table 20). Categories that are seeing an increase in numbers that are close or over 50 percent are family groups (50%), local residents (56%), individuals (48%) and small groups (48%). Groups that were reported the greatest for decreasing numbers include children under 12 years of age (17%) and teenagers (18%). Groups that were reported the greatest percentage for staying the same were visitors with disabilities (70%), ethnically diverse individuals and groups (66%), and large groups (61%).

**Table 20: Visitor characteristic trends at outdoor recreation facilities**

	Increasing numbers	Decreasing numbers	Staying the same
<b>Individuals</b>	48%	5%	47%
<b>Small groups (&lt;5)</b>	48%	8%	44%
<b>Large groups (&gt;10)</b>	33%	7%	61%
<b>Family groups</b>	50%	4%	46%
<b>Local residents</b>	56%	7%	38%
<b>Non-local MT residents</b>	40%	9%	51%
<b>Out-of-state visitors</b>	38%	10%	52%
<b>Children (&lt;12 years)</b>	39%	17%	44%
<b>Teenagers (13-18 years)</b>	36%	18%	47%
<b>Adults (18-54 years)</b>	47%	4%	49%
<b>Seniors (65 and older)</b>	47%	7%	46%
<b>Visitors with a disability</b>	26%	3%	70%
<b>Ethnically diverse individuals/groups</b>	29%	6%	66%

Recreation managers were asked who they were likely to collaborate with on recreation projects over the next five years (Table 21). Over 75 percent of respondents indicated they were most likely to collaborate with nonprofit agencies (82%), service-based organizations (79%), county agencies (79%), and municipal agencies (76%). Sixty-five percent of respondents indicated not likely to collaborate with tribal agencies over the next five years.

**Table 21: Likely collaborators over the next 5 years**

<b>Collaborators</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Nonprofit organizations</b>	82%	17%
<b>Service-based organizations (MCC, Americorps, etc.)</b>	79%	24%
<b>County agencies</b>	79%	21%
<b>Municipal (city/town) agencies</b>	76%	24%
<b>Special interest groups</b>	75%	26%
<b>State land management agencies</b>	74%	26%
<b>Friends groups</b>	74%	26%
<b>Other state agencies</b>	72%	28%
<b>Federal land management agencies</b>	66%	34%
<b>Other federal agencies</b>	64%	36%
<b>Private industries/businesses</b>	63%	37%
<b>Universities and colleges</b>	55%	45%
<b>Tribal agencies</b>	35%	65%

## Summary and Discussion

Out of the 396 emails sent out to outdoor recreation providers only 109 responded. This low response rate could be due to the online nature of this survey versus a traditional mail survey. It is easy for emails from unknown addresses to get sent directly to junk mail or to get buried under the large amounts of spam. Additional factors could be due to emails not being sent to the appropriate person to fill out the recreation facility survey. The low response rate makes it difficult to generalize these findings to the state of Montana and results should be used with caution.

The assessment of quantity and quality of the recreation facilities provided detailed information about what facilities should be considered priorities for building and improvement. Some notable findings were in the trails/street/road facilities. Over 50 percent of the respondents indicated that walking/jogging/biking paths should be increased and 36 percent of respondents reported the condition of these facilities as fair or poor. This is an area for Montana managers to consider when determining which facilities to construct or repair. Other notable facilities include motorized-off road trails and snowmobile trails. Forty-two percent of managers reported that they did not have and did not need motorized off-road trails and only 14 percent indicated these trails should be increased. Likewise, 47 percent reported they did not have and don't need snowmobile trail. These findings suggest that managers are not concerned with building new motorized trails. These types of trails often evoke strong emotions from residents and user groups and results should be applied with caution. Over half of respondents reported several facilities as being adequate including several sports fields, heritage sites, picnic areas and small and big game hunting areas. This could provide support for not allocating resources to building more of these types of facilities.

Managers were asked about future facility needs in general and specifically for demographic groups. A need that surfaced across all of the demographic groups and in the overall needs was hiking and biking trails. This validates findings from the assessment of quantity and quality where respondents reported walking/jogging/biking paths should be increased. This suggests managers should continue to explore options for expanding existing trail systems for both bikes and walking/hiking.

There were several issues that were identified as being influencing factors in how decisions are made regarding facilities. The most influential issues were youth related including connecting children with nature. Another influential issue was the health benefits of recreation. These two issues are somewhat related and should continue to be on the minds of Montana managers as they make decisions regarding recreation. These findings suggest that the Montana is focusing on not only state issues but issues affecting the entire country and they might be able to use this emphasis as a spring board for future funding initiatives.

Funding for recreation continues to be a salient topic for many managers. Results suggest that recreation agencies are relying on friends groups and service groups to help fill some of the funding gaps that may exist. This finding suggests agencies should continue to develop or create relationships with service groups (Americorps, youth conservation corps, etc.) and friends groups. Especially, since 36 percent of respondents reported their public tax funding has decreased over the past 5 years.

Collaborations might be a potential way to lessen the effect of decreasing budgets. There were several agencies that over 75 percent of respondents were likely to collaborate with. In this list were service-based organizations. This validates the finding that managers are receiving financial help from service organizations and they should continue to look to these entities for future funding opportunities.

This study highlighted the current and future needs for outdoor recreation managers. Many of the needs were associated with walking, hiking and biking. This suggests that managers are concerned about Montanans living an active lifestyle and participating in activities that are not highly specialized. The basic and simple nature of these activities can provide a platform for health initiatives across the state. Future health initiatives could focus on these activities to get Montanans outdoors and living an active lifestyle.

Overall, the findings from this survey suggest there are a few key areas where Montana outdoor recreation managers can focus in the next 5 years. This includes walking/hiking and biking paths, connecting with youth in recreation, and collaborating with service-based organizations. Keeping these areas in mind will help ensure that managers are addressing the recreation needs of Montanans.

## Appendix A: Open Ended Comments

Over the next five years, what are your top five outdoor recreation facility needs?

#1 Need:

Repair Sidewalks	skateboard park
Sidewalks!	Capital Upgrades
Football Field	Bike paths
Soccer Fields	Archery Range
tennis courts	Playground
Aquatics facility	Deferred maintenance and h.c. access. retrofitting for rental cabins and campgrounds
golf	Soccer field
Boat access to Lake Kocanusa	Golf Pump Station
Gym	practice fields
Softball	walking/running path
Move playground away from road	Residing the gym
Dog Park	Multi-use athletic fields
Hiking/Biking Trails	Outdoor volleyball court
walking paths in communities	Indoor recreation facility
open space	community bike park construction
river/lake access	Regional Park
campground renovation projects	Classroom/office
campground expansion w/ electricity	better river access trails on the Blackfoot
walking/biking trails	Hookups in Campgrounds
walking and bike trails	Tennis Courts
Additional motorboat river access	Update playground
Purchase new river accesses.	Swimming Pool
Outdoor pools	Upgrade electric Service to campgrounds to meet customer demands
NEW INFIELD MATERIAL AND BUILDINGS OT FR. SHEEHAN PARK	administrative facilities
Handicap accessibly hunting blind	developed tent camping sites
Trails - bicycle, pedestrian, equestrian	Playground
Facility/CG Maintenance and Upgrades	Softball fields
Softball Fields	Gym Floor desperately bad
improve signage for current recreation areas	Limekiln/Judiths
Improve existing rec facilities	acquire river access sites
Updated playground	aquatics/recreation center
Campgrounds with hook-ups	Campground up Swimming Woman Gulch

Protection of open space/greenways	access to public land
Expanded campgrounds	update playground
Park District	Rubberized all-purpose surface
Acquisition and development of Fishing Access Sites in the Upper Clark Fork River Drainage	Replace Waterslides
Bike trails	outdoor pool rehabilitation
Public access	Connectivity between facilities
Disc Golf course	Not cost effective to acquire more
hunting access	New indoor pool
Swimming Pool	New and improved pool
Expand football field/track complex	Bike Trials to schools and surrounding areas for our students to ride or walk
Labor to do the work	building new facilities in 2 new parks
Interpretive Visitor Center	Skate park
Hunting Management Areas (Public)	

#2 Need:

Repair and expand playground	Funding
bike lanes	Improved campground facilities
Baseball Field	Wildlife Habitat Enhancement Areas
Outdoor Basketball Courts	playgrounds
city bike/walking paths	Interior road improvements
Parks - open space	bike lanes
lacrosse	Rifle Range
Sanitation needs (toilets) at dispersed sites	All-weather track
Football fields	finishing projects already started but for which we had insufficient funds or funds
Tennis	Trails
Pond Revitalization	Spray Park
Paved commuter trails	playground
hiking trails	landscape/irrigate the play area
wildlife viewing	Replace aged irrigation systems
picnic areas	Playground equipment
construct mountain bike trails	indoor swimming pool
walking, hiking, biking trails	dog park construction
fair and rodeo grounds	Trails Pedestrian/bike
additional fishing access sites	Administrative area revamp
Rapid increase in users from oilfield personnel.	new vault toilets at Garnet
Water parks	Access to public lands through private
SKATE PARK DRAINAGE	Ice Skating Rinks

Interpretive trail	Playgrounds
Parks/playgrounds - including dog park	Improve day use facilities - Picnic shelters.
Boat Launch Maintenance and Upgrades	interpretive sites
Football Fields	developed rv camping sites
rehab fee collection sites at campgrounds	Football Field
Reduce existing infrastructure	Indoor swimming pool
basketball court	Archery
Visitor centers	Ear Mountain
Dog parks	acquire lake access sites
Expanding river launches/parking	sports complex
Community Parks & Maintenance	Bike trails
Improvements to campsites (parking spurs, fire rings, picnic tables etc.) at existing campgrounds	Upgrade 9 Restrooms
Hiking trails	ice rink rehabilitation
Heritage tourism	Walking / bike paths
Hiking/Biking Paths	restrooms in parks
fishing access	Playground for the Arlee 4-6 grades - they currently have 2 sets of swings and one slide
Outdoor Water Park	fire lookout rehab for public rental
refinish basketball court	Playgrounds

#3 Need:

maintain football field	Property fencing
Outdoor Basketball	walking paths
Family Picnic Area	BMX Facility
land acquisition	Folf
Woodland Center - ice rink/day camp	removing facilities that we can no longer afford to maintain
Motorized trail development	Softball field
Trails	Playground renovations
Storage Facility (Equipment)	Replace aging playgrounds
Softball complex	Update of football area
environmental centers	Indoor running track
cross country ski trails	fully accessible playground
swim areas	playground
repair river access sites	Trails
shower facilities	Dedicated motorized Trails direct motorized use to where we want it away from where we don't want it
county museum heritage building	Sledding
More public fishing ponds	Safe Walk & Bike Paths

Complete development of recent access points..	Construct new or additional comfort station
Rifle Ranges	boat launch improvements
ROOF A GAZEBO	seasonal staff quarters
wildlife viewing trails/interpretive sites - especially birds	Tennis Courts
Public Access	Tennis Court
Soccer Fields	Lowry Bridge
collect more accurate visitor use data for all recreation areas	improve ADA access
Re-establish trees and shrubs	new lights at softball complex
Land acquisition	Bike Lanes
Improving access roads to recreation sites	Upgrade fall protection at 36 playgrounds
Expanded float-in campsite development on rivers	skate park
Lacrosse field	Picnic areas
Recreation rental cabin development	irrigation upgrades
Stock fish ponds	running Track that has a football field in it too - ours is cinder track and unhealthy
hiking trails	trail network in 2 new parks
Outdoor Volleyball	Tourism Center
Increased Accessible Infrastructure	
Wildlife Management Areas	
baseball fields	

#### #4 Need:

repair bike paths	Cultural/geologic interpretive sites
outdoor ice rink	Tracks
Bathrooms - all parks	construct parking/camping areas at high-use access sites
Dock replacement forest wide	Improve existing trails
river access	Dispersed camping
Replace existing Wooden Playgrounds	Acquiring public access to public lands
Picnic areas	Existing gravel roadway improvement at current access sites
river access (motorized & non motorized)	Out Door Pool
non-motorized water use	Facilities maintenance
dog areas	interpretive trails
backcountry trail clearing/reconstruction	Outdoor Basketball
interpretive areas	Enhanced Interpretive/Educ. Trails
softball fields	River Access Sites
additional bike trails	BMX track
Maintain existing sites and facilities.	Biking/walking

Splash parks	interpretation of heritage-historic-recreation sites
RESURFACE TENNIS COURTS	Skate park
Picnic shelter	

#5 Need:

picnic areas	boat dock
outdoor performance venue	Community gym
Road repairs to recreation sites	retrofitting existing recreation sites / campgrounds to increase safety in grizzly bear country
lake access	Folf area
Camp Center Improvements	Dog Park
Playground Upgrades	Picnic Shelters
indoor swimming facilities	Upgrade of baseball/softball field
more downhill ski runs	facility maintenance
hike/bike trails	open space
historic cabins and building maintenance	General maintenance
visitor center	Front country trails that connect to urban trails
Tennis courts	Winter Sled & Tube Area
LOCATE AN AREA FOR AMERICAN LEGION BASEBALL FIELD	Improve all-weather roads to remote recreation facilities.
Access to public lands - for hunting, fishing, hiking, walking, etc.	trails
Tennis Courts	Outdoor Basketball
acquire assistance agreements for law enforcement patrols at recreation sites	Gilmore Cabin
Increase management of dispersed recreation sites	improve existing ramps and docks
Hiking trails	outdoor pool
Additional non-motorized trails	Tubing hills
Boat launch improvement/maintenance/replacement at existing access sites	Replace playground equipment
Basketball courts	xc/snowshoe trails
Funding for staffing	Lake or river access
biking trails	cabins or yurts in parks
Bike or walking trail	Sidewalk/walkway improvement
Improved boat launches	
Fishing Access Sites	

Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to serve youth (under age 18) over the next 5 years.

Youth #1 Need:

Bike Paths	Hunting Access Areas
skate parks	FAS Campgrounds
Football Field	Basketball Courts (Outdoors)
Soccer	Archery Range
tennis courts	All weather track
Community Center	rental cabins
soccer	Playground renovation
West Kootenai Boat Launch	practice fields
build a gym	playground
Softball	play area
Wooden Playgrounds (Replace)	Trail system Expansion
Playground Upgrades	Playground
walking/biking paths	Indoor recreation center
wildlife viewing	sports fields
fishing	playgrounds
campground renovation	Classroom
interpretive trails	Virtual Access to the outdoors via smart phones (which is not a physical facility)
walking/biking trails	Update playground
Additional fishing access sites	Swimming Pool
Skate Parks	interpretive sites
BASEBALL FIELDS	Group use picnic shelters
Handicap accessibly hunting blind	Skate Park
Trails	Playground
Fresno Reservoir	Ice rink
Softball Fields	Archery
Camp Creek Recreation Area/Campground	Limekiln
Local picnic area for school groups	athletic fields
updated playground	Better playground facilities
playgrounds	access to public land
Playground	bike paths
Non-motorized trails	rubberized all purpose surface
Increased access for youth angling opportunities	Replace waterslides
Bike Trail	outdoor pool
interpretive trail	Ice rink
swimming pool	indoor pool repairs
football field	pool

Fishing sites	Archery Range
Enhanced Interpretive/Educ. Trails	trails & connecting trails
Skate park	

Youth #2 Need:

ball fields	Rifle Range
Baseball Field	Playground
walking/biking paths	hyalite pavilion and W shore trail
Ice Rink/day camp center	Spray Park
lacrosse	bike paths
Galton project trail/trailhead	skate/bike park
softball fields	tennis/basketball court
Tennis	replace playgrounds
Camp Center (Improvements)	Baseball/softball field
Trails	playgrounds
environmental education centers	sport fields
tennis courts	trails
swimming	More Mountain Bike Trails
construct mountain bike trails	Playgrounds and Picnic Sites
bike paths	boat launches
Water Parks	Group camping facilities
NEW FOLF COURSE	Bike paths
Clark Canyon Reservoir	Football Field
Tracks	tennis courts
Paulo Recreation Area	Crystal Cave
Interpretive Trails	gym space
basketball court	bike lanes for safety
interpretive trails	Playgrounds
Shoreline fishing areas	ice rink
Group-use campsites	Outdoor basketball courts
Hiking Trail	gun range
water park	rubber track and football field
basketball courts	educational centers
Access to public lands	Pool
Jogging/Hiking/Biking Trails	
FAS access	

Youth #3 Need:

outdoor ice rink	BMX Track
Aquatics	campgrounds
rock climbing	Rock climbing wall
Stone Hill Climb Area	new multi-use athletic fields
football fields	Football field
Splash Park	concessions/restroom facility
indoor swimming facilities	splash park
ski trails	additional land
bike trail	Basketball Courts
river access site upgrade	trails
nature center	Outdoor sports facilities
Splash Parks	Collar Peak Trailhead
SWIMMING POOL/WADING POOL	indoor turf
Tennis Courts	tubing hills
Cottonwood Recreation Area	Tennis courts
Urban interface trail system	splash park
hiking trails	Baseball / softball fields
General access site improvements/maintenance	softball/baseball complex
Basketball courts	campgrounds
basketball court	Sports fields (basketball, volleyball, soccer)
Indoor Swimming Facility	
FAS picnic areas	

Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to serve adults (ages 18-64) over the next 5 years.

Adult #1 Need:

walking paths	Hunting Access areas
side walks	FAS Campgrounds
Football Field	Rifle Range
Soccer	Community gym
tennis courts	rental cabins
Community Center	Rock climbing wall
pickle ball courts	walking/running path
West Kootenai Boat Launch	tennis/basketball court
water access	Trail system expansion
Bike/Pedestrian Trails	indoor recreation center

Softball complex	trails
walking/biking paths	open space
more downhill ski runs	Classroom
fishing	Hookups in Campgrounds, more space in campgrounds to accommodate everyone's toys they bring.
campground renovation	Swimming Pool
hiking, walking, bike paths	interpretive sites
walking/biking trails	RV camping sites
hike and bike trails	Walking paths
add & improve motorboat access	NA
Rifle Ranges	ice rink
OUTDOOR EXERCISE STATIONS	Limekiln
Handicap accessibly hunting blind	Lake Blaine
Trails	athletic fields
Fresno Reservoir	access to public land
Football Venue	gun ranges
Camp Creek Recreation Area/Campground	Tennis courts
Urban interface trail system	outdoor pool
campgrounds with hook-ups	Walking / bike paths
Trails	Walking paths
Campgrounds	tennis court repairs
General access site improvements/maintenance	Archery Range
Bike Trail	trails & connecting trails
hiking trail	Hunting land maintenance
walking trail	Improved boat launches
Access to public lands	

Adult #2 Need:

bike trails	Improved campground facilities
Baseball Field	Jogging/Hiking/Biking Trails
Bike Paths	FAS access
softball fields	Archery Range
Ice Rink	Walking/biking path
Galton project trail/trailhead	hyalite pavilion and w shore trail
bike paths	Trail upgrades and maintenance
Dog Park	Picnic Facilities
Trails	community bike park
indoor swimming facilities	bike/pedestrian trails
cross country ski trails	Trails
swimming	WI FI services in campgrounds
river access site upgrade	Basketball Courts

more electrical hookups in campground	boat launches
Additional fishing access sites	Tent camping sites
Archery Ranges	bike paths
SWIMMING POOL	tennis courts
Clark Canyon Reservoir	Crystal Cave
Tracks	Flathead River House of Mystery access
Black Jack Butte Campground	gym space
Motorized trail system	horseshoe adult recreation area
hiking trails	Bike/hike trails
River access ramps/parking	ice rink
Group-use campsites	Outdoor basketball courts
Hiking Trail	rubber track and football field
interpretive trail	campgrounds
community weight room	Sports fields

Adult #3 Need:

walking/biking paths	campgrounds
Big Creek Baldy Lookout	Picnic shelter upgrades
trails	Multi-use athletic fields
Lazy River (Wild River upgrade)	sports fields
Picnic areas	tennis courts
hiking trails	additional lands
open space	Facilities that accommodate small family group gatherings
dog area	Picnic Sites
backcountry trail clearing	trails
shower facility	community center
Outdoor Pools	Collar Peak Trailhead
BICYCLE PATHS	Clark Fork River between Plains and Thompson Falls
Tennis Courts	indoor turf
Fresno OHV Recreation Area	improve walking trails for weather stability
Availability & accuracy of recreation information	Basketball courts
mountain bike trails	xc/snowshoe trail
Access roads	Baseball / softball fields
Float-in campsites	softball/baseball complex
Swimming Pool	visitor centers
swimming pool	Horseshoe pits
Indoor Swimming Facility	BMX Track
FAS picnic areas	

Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build, or acquire to serve seniors (age 65 and up) over the next 5 years.

Senior #1 Need:

n/a	Senior Center Enhancements
side walks	FAS Campgrounds
Track	Rifle Range
Walking Trails	Community gym
walking/biking paths	rental cabins
Community Center	Trail upgrades and maintenance
walking track	walking/running path
West Kootenai Boat launch	tennis/basketball court
water access	Trail system expansion
Accessible Paths to Pavilions	indoor recreation center
Trails	dog park
walking/biking paths	walking trails
open space	Trails
fishing	Upgrade existing facilities to accommodate larger RVs
campground renovation	Swimming Pool
electrical hookups in campground	interpretive sites
walking/biking trails	RV camping sites
hike and bike trails	Walking paths
Add & improve motorboat access	NA
Walking Trails	community center
TENNIS COURTS	Limekiln
Handicap accessibly hunting blind	senior center
Trails	access to public land
Fresno Reservoir	horseshoe pits
Football Venue	walking trails improved for stability of surfaces
Paulo Recreation Area	3 season shelter
Improve condition of Forest roads	outdoor pool
Campgrounds with hook-ups	Walking / bike paths
Trails	Walking paths
Electrical hook-ups	walking paths
General access site improvements/maintenance	Archery Range
Bike Trail	trails & connecting trails
same	Walking trails
Swimming pool	Increased Accessible Infrastructure
ADA accessible areas	

Senior #2 Need:

pickle ball courts	Exercise Facilities
Ross Creek Cedar	FAS access
trails	Archery Range
Programs (Non existent)	Walking/biking path
Picnic areas	hyalite pavilion and W shore trail
picnic areas	Picnic shelter upgrades
wildlife viewing	Picnic facilities
walk path/trail	trails
fitness trails front country trails	picnic areas
boat launch areas	Improved accessibility
Additional fishing access sites	Picnic Sites
Motorized Trails	boat launches
SWIMMING POOL	trails
Clark Canyon Reservoir	Crystal Cave
Tracks	aquatics center
Camp Creek Recreation Area/Campground	dance hall
Availability & accuracy of recreation information	Tennis courts
visitor centers	xc/snowshoe trail
Universal accessibility to facilities	Wildlife viewing areas
Campsite improvements within existing campgrounds.	rubber track and football field - walkers of all ages could use this
Hiking Trail	campgrounds
walking trail	Pool

Senior #3 Need:

bike lanes	Swimming Pool
Bull River Campground	weight room
pocket parks	Indoor Swimming Facility
More Linking Bike/Pedestrian Paths	FAS picnic areas
camping with hook-ups	campgrounds
wildlife interpretation	ADA parking
picnic area	Cultural/performance venues
scenic drive areas	open space
walking & bike trails	historic building stabilization
Tennis Courts	Interpretive Walk Paths
Tennis Courts	road upgrades
Montana Gulch Campground	trails
Volunteer & partnership opportunities	adult education center for physical fitness
walking trails	Bike/hike trails
Boat docks	ice rink

Boat launch improvements/maintenance	swimming pool
visitor centers	

Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to meet ADA/accessibility standards over the next 5 years.

ADA #1 Need:

walkways	Hunting Access Areas
side walks	FAS Latrines
Football Field/Track	Rifle Range
All of the Above	Football field/stadium
playgrounds	rental cabins
Bathrooms	Playground renovations
swinging pool	walking/running path
West Kootenai Boat launch	Picnic facilities
gym	indoor recreation center
Accessible Paths to Pavilions	playgrounds
Trails	playground
ADA fishing piers/platforms	restrooms
wildlife viewing	Most of our facilities have been considerably improved for accessibility in recent years.
fishing	Update playground
campgrounds	Walk Paths
paved walking trails	interpretive sites
walking/biking trails	sidewalk upgrades
Additional ADA fishing accesses	Football Field
Outdoor Pools	Limekiln
Handicap accessibly hunting blind	Pine Grove Pond ADA
Trails	restrooms
None	access to public lands
Football Venue	ADA public bathroom
Paulo Recreation Area	Playgrounds
Install accessible recreation facilities	outdoor pool
trails	Picnic areas
Fishing access	N/A
Trails	Archery Range
Accessible fishing platforms	trails & connecting trails
Bike Trail	Walking trail accessibility
same	Accessible Walks/Interp Trails
swimming pool	

ADA #2 Need:

beach access	Exercise Facilities
Ice Rink	Picnic tables
Ross Creek Cedar	Archery Range
dock improvements	Playground
Pool Ramp for Lazy River	hyalite pavilion and W shore trail
ADA nature trails	Picnic shelter upgrades
open space	Playgrounds
trails	trails
fitness access trails	trails
boat launch areas	Picnic Sites
Motorized Trails	boat launches
None	boating facilitiy upgrades
gym seating	trails
Camp Creek Recreation Area/Campground	ADA accessible showers
Improve outdoor access routes	Park shelter access
campgrounds	trails
Swim areas	Walking / bike paths
Improved access to existing latrines	Track
Hiking Trail	visitor centers
weight room	Enhanced Campground Facilities

ADA #3 Need:

ice rink	Swimming Pool
Sylvan Lake Campground	walking trails
trails	Interpretive Visitor Center
Access throughout parks	Indoor Water Facility
ADA camping pads & picnic areas	Camping pads
fishing access	campgrounds
picnic	Parking improvements
boat launch facilities	Trail access parking areas
comfort stations	open space
None	Bleachers
Soccer seating	restroom upgrades
Montana Gulch Campground	pools
Availability & accuracy of information related to accessibility	ADA accessible for walking trails
fishing access	Restrooms
Shelters	ice rink
Improved accessibility within existing campsites	swimming pool

Open-ended comments:

<p>A swimming pool is essential for the safety of our students. They need a safe facility to learn swimming and water safety. Libby's numerous rivers and lakes can be extremely dangerous.</p>
<p>Agency is funded by purchases of licenses from resident and nonresident sportsmen. Funding from excise tax on sporting good sales from PR or DJ dollars.</p>
<p>Although it is anticipated that availability of federal recreation facilities will remain somewhat stable, federal provision of outdoor recreation services will be declining due to anticipated reductions in Federal budgets. More and more of FS services, and maintenance or construction of new facilities will be done through citizen involvement, user fees, and partnerships with other organizations and volunteers. Issues that will be the focus of FS resources will be directed to management of impacts associated with increased dispersed, unregulated use of the national forests, enforcement of travel restrictions, and demands for increased access and motorized recreation opportunities.</p>
<p>Anderson Elementary has applied for a SRTS grant several years with minimal success. We desperately need a trail to school so our students can safely walk or ride their bikes to school. At this time, it is extremely unsafe to do that.</p>
<p>As a public school a lot of this did not apply. Maybe give schools the N/A option?</p>
<p>As a public school, our funding resources are somewhat limited. However, recreation opportunities in this community are more than adequate.</p>
<p>As a school district, we have to rely heavily on private businesses and individuals to help of fund local projects.</p>
<p>I see the demands for outdoor recreation facilities on the increase... The public has turned toward local recreation opportunities in an effort to satisfy their needs.... Population bases are staying somewhat constant while the usage is increasing for programs and facilities/open space... Revenues sources seem to be drying up, and becoming fewer ...</p>
<p>Just trying to find funding sources to create all-purpose areas through reasonable means available to open the area to our students and community members</p>
<p>Recreation and parks critical to quality of life. Create a statewide parks commission</p>
<p>Stateside LWCF has to be adequately funded as it was initially designed from the beginning. It is a great funding source to help state &amp; local governments fund outdoor recreation projects. All of our communities have suffered by Congress not allocating these funds appropriately. It is time to allocate LWCF fairly.</p>
<p>Thank you.</p>
<p>The County does not operate its own parks and recreation program. There are 3 Parks Districts in Madison County and a 4th is being considered. Outdoor recreation needs are taken care of through others, especially FWP, BLM, USFS, DNRC, private agencies/resorts. The County owns property that is managed by others for golf course and tennis. According to a survey recently done for our growth policy, access to public lands and access to opportunities by an aging population are of concern (see <a href="http://www.madison.mt.gov/departments/plan/publications/GrowthPolicy.asp">http://www.madison.mt.gov/departments/plan/publications/GrowthPolicy.asp</a>, especially questions 4-10).</p>

There are about 650 miles of numbered "roads" on the CMR Refuge, but in actuality they function as a multiple use trail system, and thus were listed as trails for the purpose of this survey. Properly licensed, "street legal" ORVs and ATVs are allowed on these roads as well, and were included. Each allowed use was allocated the full 650 miles, which is the Refuge total mileage (i.e. the categories are not additive). There are developed recreational facilities on the Refuge under the management of the Army Corps of Engineers and the BLM. I have attempted to exclude those from the "condition" survey questions, but did consider them when estimating the questions asking if we needed more or had enough. All of the potential partners in the last question are included for possible collaborative efforts in the coming years as the National Wildlife Refuge System is not primarily engaged with recreation opportunities (Wildlife First), but we are growing as an agency to recognize that getting people engaged and caring about these areas requires opportunities to visit, explore, learn, and enjoy. Please call Rick Potts at 406.535.2800 x12 for additional information, clarification, or corrections if I misinterpreted any of the questions.

This was tough to do as a school facility. Many questions don't apply and I simply guessed.

Tribal land is mixed with non-tribal, private, and leased lands as well as city and county boundaries. Therefore, it is difficult to answer some of these questions because the outdoor facilities may not be directly maintained by the Tribes, but are primarily used by Tribal members. We are hoping to increase our tourism programs and realize that outdoor recreation can be a big draw so we do hope to collaborate with more groups in the future.

Use at developed recreation sites, especially on waterways has increased about 3-5% over the past 5 years. Highest uses are camping, boating, fishing, hunting and OHV riding.

We are a public school

We are a school district

We are a school district that is why the questions are answered the way they are.

we are currently in the process of completing the initial parks and recreation master plan for the city of Whitefish, unfortunately it will not be done in time to include any of the information in the SCORP survey, but will certainly be of benefit in the next update of the SCORP.


We are located on the end of the Flathead Indian reservation and we seem to be the forgotten city as we have no agencies that govern out town other than friends groups. We need some support and help getting our families healthy. Walking and biking trails is a great start and a personal priority for me.

## **Appendix B: Survey Instrument**

# Statewide Facility and Outdoor Recreation Needs Survey

1. What is the name of your organization?

In question #2 below, what region are the majority of your facilities located? (We realize some agencies cross over county and region boundaries)



2. Please check the region that best applies to your agency.

1

2

3

4

5

6

3.

Please identify the primary county in which your agency is located.

Beaverhead  
Big Horn  
Blaine  
Broadwater  
Carbon  
Carter  
Cascade  
Chouteau  
Custer  
Daniels  
Dawson  
Deer Lodge  
Fallon  
Fergus  
Flathead  
Gallatin  
Garfield  
Glacier  
Golden Valley  
Granite  
Hill  
Jefferson  
Judith Basin  
Lake  
Lewis and Clark  
Liberty  
Lincoln  
McCone  
Madison  
Meagher  
Mineral  
Missoula  
Musselshell  
Park  
Petroleum  
Phillips  
Pondera  
Powder River  
Powell  
Prairie  
Ravalli  
Richland  
Roosevelt  
Rosebud  
Sanders  
Sheridan  
Silver Bow  
Stillwater  
Sweet Grass  
Teton  
Toole  
Treasure  
Valley  
Wheatland  
Wibaux  
Yellowstone

4.

Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate number</u>	<u>Should be increased</u>	<u>Don't have, but <i>would like</i> this</u>	<u>Don't have, don't <i>need</i> this</u>
<b><u>Trails/street/road facilities</u></b>				
Walking/jogging/biking paths	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hiking trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equestrian trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bike lanes on roads	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mountain bike trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Motorized off-road trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Scenic byways	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5.

Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate number</u>	<u>Should be increased</u>	<u>Don't have, but would like this</u>	<u>Don't have, don't need this</u>
<b><u>Water-based areas/facilities</u></b>				
Outdoor pools	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Splash/spray decks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Waterparks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lake and river boat launches	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lake and river access	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fishing areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Non-motorized boating areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Motorized boating areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**6.** Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate number</u>	<u>Should be increased</u>	<u>Don't have, but <u>would</u> like this</u>	<u>Don't have, don't <u>need</u> this</u>
<b><u>Land-based areas/facilities</u></b>				
Big game hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Small game hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Waterfowl hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Campgrounds with hook-ups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Campgrounds without hook-ups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Backcountry camping	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Publicly owned rental cabins/yurts/lookouts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Picnic areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Playgrounds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Dog parks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor rock climbing areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Pow wow/dance arbor areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Rodeo grounds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7.

Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate number</u>	<u>Should be increased</u>	<u>Don't have, but would like this</u>	<u>Don't have, don't need this</u>
<b><u>Sport facilities</u></b>				
Tennis courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Skateboard parks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
BMX/mountain bike skills parks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Archery ranges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Rifle/handgun ranges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Horseshoe pits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Golf Courses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Disc golfing/folfing courses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Baseball fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Softball fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Lacrosse fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor basketball courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Soccer fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Football fields	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor volleyball courts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8.

Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate</u> <u>number</u>	<u>Should be</u> <u>increased</u>	<u>Don't have,</u> <u>but would</u> <u>like this</u>	<u>Don't have,</u> <u>don't need</u> <u>this</u>
<b><u>Winter sports</u></b> <b><u>areas/facilities</u></b>				
Sledding/tubing areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
XC ski/snowshoe trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor Ice rinks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Snowmobile trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Downhill ski areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9.

Please check the adequacies of the following facilities under your jurisdiction.

	<u>Adequate number</u>	<u>Should be increased</u>	<u>Don't have, but <u>would</u> like this</u>	<u>Don't have, don't <u>need</u> this</u>
<b><u>Viewing/learning areas/facilities</u></b>				
Heritage/historic areas or sites	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Environmental education areas or centers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interpretive trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Open space designated areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Wildlife viewing areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Natural or wild areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**10.** **NOW** with the same list of facilities/areas you have just completed, please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i><u>Poor</u></i>	<i><u>Fair</u></i>	<i><u>Good</u></i>	<i><u>Very Good</u></i>	<i><u>N/A</u></i>
<b><u>Trails/street/road facilities</u></b>					
Walking/jogging/biking paths	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hiking trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Equestrian trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Bike lanes on roadways	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Mountain bike trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Motorized off-road trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Scenic byways	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11.

Please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<b><u>Water-based areas/facilities</u></b>					
Outdoor pools	<input type="radio"/>				
Splash/spray decks	<input type="radio"/>				
Waterparks	<input type="radio"/>				
Lake and river boat launches	<input type="radio"/>				
Lake and river access	<input type="radio"/>				
Fishing areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Non-motorized boating areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Motorized boating areas	<input type="radio"/>				

12.

Please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<b><u>Land-based areas/facilities</u></b>					
Big game hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Small game hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Waterfowl hunting areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Campgrounds with hook-ups	<input type="radio"/>				
Campgrounds without hook-ups	<input type="radio"/>				
Backcountry camping	<input type="radio"/>				
Publicly owned rental cabins/yurts/lookouts	<input type="radio"/>				
Picnic areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Playgrounds	<input type="radio"/>				
Dog parks	<input type="radio"/>				
Outdoor rock climbing areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Pow wow/dance arbor areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Rodeo grounds	<input type="radio"/>				

13.

Please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<b><u>Sports facilities</u></b>					
Tennis courts	<input type="radio"/>				
Skateboard parks	<input type="radio"/>				
BMX/mountain bike skills parks	<input type="radio"/>				
Archery ranges	<input type="radio"/>				
Rifle/handgun ranges	<input type="radio"/>				
Horseshoe pits	<input type="radio"/>				
Golf Courses	<input type="radio"/>				
Disc golfing/olfing courses	<input type="radio"/>				
Baseball fields	<input type="radio"/>				
Softball fields	<input type="radio"/>				
Lacrosse fields	<input type="radio"/>				
Outdoor basketball courts	<input type="radio"/>				
Soccer fields	<input type="radio"/>				
Football fields	<input type="radio"/>				
Outdoor volleyball courts	<input type="radio"/>				

14.

Please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i><u>Poor</u></i>	<i><u>Fair</u></i>	<i><u>Good</u></i>	<i><u>Very Good</u></i>	<i><u>N/A</u></i>
<b><u>Winter sports areas/facilities</u></b>					
Sledding/tubing areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
XC ski/snowshoe trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Outdoor Ice rinks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Snowmobile trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Downhill ski areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

15.

Please identify the quality of the condition of facilities/areas in which you are responsible.

	<i>Poor</i>	<i>Fair</i>	<i>Good</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>N/A</i>
<b><u>Viewing/learning areas/facilities</u></b>					
Heritage/historic areas or sites	<input type="radio"/>				
Environmental education areas or centers	<input type="radio"/>				
Interpretive trails	<input type="radio"/>				
Open space designated areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Wildlife viewing areas	<input type="radio"/>				
Natural or wild areas	<input type="radio"/>				

**16.** If you are a city, county, tribal or school recreation provider, please indicate the number of facilities you have for each category. **NOTE: Please indicate "0" in each box if you do not have this facility. (State and federal land agencies skip to question #17).**

Tennis courts	<input type="text"/>
Skateboard parks	<input type="text"/>
BMX/mountain bike skills parks	<input type="text"/>
Archery ranges	<input type="text"/>
Rifle/handgun ranges	<input type="text"/>
Horseshoe pits	<input type="text"/>
Golf courses	<input type="text"/>
Disc golfing 'olfing' courses	<input type="text"/>
Baseball fields	<input type="text"/>
Softball fields	<input type="text"/>
Outdoor basketball courts	<input type="text"/>
Soccer fields	<input type="text"/>
Football fields	<input type="text"/>
Lacrosse fields	<input type="text"/>
Outdoor volleyball courts	<input type="text"/>
Playgrounds	<input type="text"/>
Picnic areas	<input type="text"/>
Outdoor pools	<input type="text"/>
Splash/spray decks	<input type="text"/>
Waterparks	<input type="text"/>
Outdoor ice rinks	<input type="text"/>
Pow wow/dance arbor areas	<input type="text"/>
Rodeo grounds	<input type="text"/>

17.

Please indicate the approximate miles of trails your agency manages for each trail type.

Walking/fitness/bike trails

Hiking/backpacking trails

Equestrian trails

Bike lanes

Designated mountain bike trails

Off-road/ATV trails

XC ski/snowshoe trails

Snowmobile trails

**18.** Over the next 5 years, what are your top 5 outdoor recreation facility needs? This can include facilities/areas that need to be improved, building new facilities or acquiring land for new areas and facilities.

Facility need #1

Facility need #2

Facility need #3

Facility need #4

Facility need #5

**19.** Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to serve YOUTH (under age 18) over the next 5 years.

Facility need #1

Facility need #2

Facility need #3

**20.** Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to serve ADULTS (ages 18-64) over the next 5 years.

Facility need #1

Facility need #2

Facility need #3

**21.** Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to serve SENIORS (Age 65 and up) over the next 5 years.

Facility need #1

Facility need #2

Facility need #3

**22.** Please list up to 3 outdoor recreation facilities you need to improve, build or acquire to meet ADA/ACCESSIBILITY STANDARDS over the next 5 years.

Facility need #1

Facility need #2

Facility need #3

23.

**How influential are the following issues for your agency when making decisions regarding facility improvements, services and programs?**

	<u>Not Influential</u>	<u>Somewhat Influential</u>	<u>Influential</u>	<u>Very Influential</u>
Youth & the future generations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Aging population	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Children in nature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nonresident users	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Health benefits of recreation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Connecting people with nature	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Training future recreation workforce	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Multi-use regional parks	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increased connectivity between trails	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Recreation data for justification needs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Providing opportunities for military veterans and families	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**24. Please indicate the sources of funding your agency has used for outdoor recreation in the past 5 years.**

	<u>Not at all</u>	<u>Rarely</u>	<u>Sometimes</u>	<u>Often</u>	<u>All the time</u>
Federal fund allocations	<input type="radio"/>				
State fund allocations	<input type="radio"/>				
Tribal fund allocations	<input type="radio"/>				
County fund allocations	<input type="radio"/>				
City/town fund allocations	<input type="radio"/>				
Park/user fees	<input type="radio"/>				
Designated taxes	<input type="radio"/>				
Bonds	<input type="radio"/>				
Public grants	<input type="radio"/>				
Private grants	<input type="radio"/>				
Donations from 'Friends' groups	<input type="radio"/>				
Donations from individuals	<input type="radio"/>				
Donations from businesses or development agencies	<input type="radio"/>				
Donations from service groups	<input type="radio"/>				

**25. Compare your recreation funding from public tax allocations of 5 years ago to today. How has it changed?**

- About the same*
- Increased*
- Decreased*

**26.** To the best of your ability, describe the visitor characteristic trends you are seeing at your outdoor recreation facilities?

	<u>Increasing numbers</u>	<u>Decreasing numbers</u>	<u>Staying the same</u>
Individuals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Small groups (<5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Large groups (>10)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Family groups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Local residents	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Non-local MT residents	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Out-of-State visitors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Children (<12 years of age)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Teenagers (13-18 years of age)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Adults (18-64 years of age)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Seniors (65 and older)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Visitors with a disability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Ethnically diverse individuals/groups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**27. Who are you likely to collaborate with on recreation projects in the next 5 years?**

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
Federal land management agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other federal agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
State land management agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other state agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tribal agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
County agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Municipal agencies (city or town)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Service-based organizations (Americops, MT Conservation Corps, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nonprofit organizations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Friends groups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Special interest groups	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Universities and Colleges	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Private industries/businesses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

**28. Please provide any additional comments related to your agency and outdoor recreation.**

**Thank you for your time.**